

Seamons, Colleen

From: Anna Fedeles [morselsandmusings@yahoo.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 10 February 2010 10:50 PM
To: submissions
Subject: Submission regarding Proposal P1007 - Primary Production & Processing Requirements For Raw Milk Products

Categories: Blue Category

Submission regarding Proposal P1007 - Primary Production & Processing Requirements For Raw Milk Products

By Anna Fedeles

12/654-670 King Street

Erskineville NSW 2043

0402 472 733

morselsandmusings@yahoo.com.au

Overarching questions:

1) The overarching scope of the Proposal is assessing the safety of raw milk products using the Category Framework. FSANZ has undertaken a Technical Assessment based on three Risk Assessments (Raw Cow Milk, Raw Goat Milk and Raw Milk Cheese), a Consumer Study and Nutrition Assessment – Can you identify any aspects we have not covered at this point?

The Proposals exaggerate the risks of raw milk products.

They state that “Because of the potential for raw milk to be contaminated with pathogens, raw milk and products made from raw milk present a high level of risk to public health and safety if there are no control measures to manage the microbiological hazards that may be present.”

It is a false assumption that the risks are “high level” for raw milk products. A more realistic description for raw milk products is “they present an additional risk to public health and safety compared with products made from correctly pasteurised milk”.

2) We have summarised the impacts by option in Table 1 in the Report. Do you have any comments on the overall assessment? Can you identify other benefits and costs to the affected parties?

For raw milk cheese, the overall assessment seems to be far more alarmist than the technical assessment suggests. I consider that the technical assessment indicates that all soft cheese should be placed in Category 2, reserving Category 3 for raw drinking milk alone.

Consumers:

3) Would Australian consumers benefit from a greater range of cheeses and dairy products? Please provide details.

Given that it is a false assumption that the risks are “high level” for raw milk products, how could Australian consumers not benefit from a wider variety of cheese and dairy products, produced both locally and internationally. Consumer choice would widen, as would consumer awareness and this would lead to a broadening of the Australian dairy industry, strengthening its supply chain and leading to overall greater local employment and global export potential. What's not to like about it?

4) FSANZ has received comments that raw milk cheeses are likely to be gourmet, high-end market products. Costs associated with ensuring the safety of products may also be passed on to the customer - if raw milk cheeses were permitted:

a. How much would you be willing to pay for such cheeses?

How long is a piece of string? It depends on brand, quality, quantity and value for money.

b. Are you willing to pay more than the cost of current gourmet cheeses?

Yes, hypothetically, but again this depends on whether the product is value for money.

c. Are you prepared to pay more if there are added costs in ensuring the safety of raw milk products?

If nessecary, but not if so called "safety" measures are simply alarmists' ways of making products prohibitive to consumers. This process needs to be managed carefully, fairly and with common sense.

d. Would you choose to purchase an Australian raw milk cheese over an imported equivalent?

I would choose to purchase cheese of high quality that tastes good. While imported cheeses often have better marketing, in the end flavour is much more important than origin. If I wasn't sure, I would read up and follow the critics' or vendors' advice.

Thanks

Anna

Yahoo!7: Catch-up on your favourite Channel 7 TV shows easily, legally, and for free at PLUS7. [Check it out.](#)